ChatGPT

The Cultural, Neurological, Mechanical, and Symbolic Dimensions of Cards

Playing cards – small sheets of paper or board marked with symbols – are ubiquitous in games, art, and metaphor. Yet their significance spans history, culture, psychology, and even emerging technologies. This paper traces the **origins and evolution of cards** from ancient China to modern digital systems, examines **how they are made and used**, explores their **symbolic roles in culture and language**, and considers **why humans collect them** and how they might serve future artificial and post-human intelligences. We synthesize scholarship on card history, manufacture, semiotics, psychology, and digital economies to present a comprehensive, interdisciplinary view. All claims are sourced from reputable historical studies, technical accounts, and scholarly research.

Etymology and Early History of Cards

The English word "card" (as in playing card) appears in the early 15th century, borrowed from Old French *carte* "leaf" (of paper) and Latin *charta* "paper, tablet" [1]. This reflects that cards are literally "leaves" of paper or pasteboard. The oldest evidence of card-like gaming objects comes from **Tang-dynasty China (618–907 CE)**. Chinese texts of the 9th century mention a "leaf game" (*yezi xi*). For example, a Tang compendium records Princess Tongchang (868 CE) playing a *yezi* game with ministers [2] [3]. However, modern scholarship (Lo 2000) debates whether *yezi xi* was truly a card game or a dice game described as "leaves" [4] [5]. Regardless, by the late 13th century China had **clearly printed playing cards**. In 1294 AD, two Chinese gamblers ("Yan Sengzhu" and "Zheng Pig-Dog") were caught with woodblocks and nine printed cards [2]. This is the first dated instance of *zu pai* (paper cards). By then, Chinese money-suit cards (coins, strings of coins, myriads, tens of myriads) existed for trick-taking games [2] [6]. Scholar William H. Wilkinson suggests early Chinese cards may have been printed representations of currency used as game media [6].

From China the idea of cards spread west via the Silk Road. By the **late medieval period (12th–14th c.) the Islamic world had decks of Mamluk origin.** These Egyptian/Middle Eastern decks featured **four suits (polo-sticks, coins, swords, cups)** with 13 cards each (1–10 plus three "court" ranks: King, Viceroy, Second Viceroy) [7] [8]. Islamic decks often bore poetic couplets instead of figural images, reflecting aniconic art traditions [7] [8]. A surviving 15th-century Mamluk "Topkapi" deck (found in Istanbul) exemplifies this structure [7]. These suits (cups, coins, swords, polo-sticks) would directly inform the later Latin suits in Europe. Indeed, by 1379 Europe's records mention a game called *nayb* imported by a "Saracen" (Muslim), likely referring to cards [9]. Linguistic traces remain: the Spanish word **naipes** and Italian **naibi** for playing cards derive from Arabic *nāʾib* (deputy or vicegerent), one of the court-card ranks [9] [10].

In Europe, playing cards appear in documents from the late 14th century. For example, a 1371 Catalan dictionary lists "naip" meaning "playing card" [11]. By 1377 decks were known in Italy and France (sometimes prohibited by authorities) [12] [13]. Early European cards retained the four-suit, 13-rank structure inherited from Mamluk decks. Italy and Spain kept suits of *cups, coins, swords, clubs* (clubs often depicted as batons or batons/polo-sticks) [14]. Germany adopted *hearts, bells, leaves, acorns* (German suits) and initially had no

female court cards [14]. Around 1480 France standardized the suits and court ranks we know today: **hearts, diamonds, clubs, spades**, with King, Queen, and Jack (Knave) as courts [15] [16]. Notably, each French suit was originally associated with a social class: hearts (clergy), spades (military), diamonds (merchants), clubs (peasants/farmers) [16]. The Ace of Spades has its own lore (later crowned as "death card"), but regardless, by the 15th century European decks were fully developed. Thus in summary, cards likely originated in Tang China as paper slips or "leaves" (perhaps first for drinking games) [2] [3], evolved into money-suit decks by 13th c. China [2], traveled through Persia/Mamluk Egypt [17] [8], and from the 14th c. onward spread throughout Eurasia, culminating in the familiar French-suited decks of early modern Europe [7] [16].

Mechanics of Physical Cards

Materials and construction. Early playing cards were handcrafted objects. Medieval luxury decks (e.g. the 1430s *Stuttgarter Kartenspiel* or the Ambras Court Hunting pack of ca.1445) were made of **pasteboard** formed by gluing multiple paper layers. These cards often had up to 5–6 plies: for example, Spanish and Italian artisans pasted together several sheets to reach sufficient thickness [18] [19]. The front faces were usually coated with gesso or a white layer; designs were scratched or drawn onto this surface, gilded with gold leaf, and then hand-painted in rich colors [18]. Early backs often featured a folded-over border of paper for durability [19]. These artisan cards were sturdy but labor-intensive. By contrast, a more common medieval type was woodcut-printed: sheets of paper were block-printed with suits/figures and then colored by stencils or hand [20]. By 1771 the *Encyclopaedia Britannica* described mass-printed cards made "of fine thin pasteboard" about 3.5 by 2.5 inches, printed via "moulds and blocks" similar to early book printing [21].

Guilds and industrialization. In early Europe, cardmaking was a guild craft. Master artisans trained apprentices over years, keeping techniques secret [22]. A 1380 record even names a card-maker in Perpignan. As demand grew, block-print methods spread. By the 15th–16th centuries many cities hosted card workshops. Eventually the Industrial Revolution brought steam-powered machines: by the early 19th century, factories used multi-ply cardboard stock, rolled printing (copperplate and lithography), and mechanized cutting and ironing [23] [24]. For example, an 1825 description notes that standard cards used a "brown hand" paper glue-core of two sheets (for opacity and paste adhesion) covered with "cardmakers' paper" on one side and fine white "pot" paper on the other, before printing and stamping [23]. Today's playing cards are made in bulk: layered paper or card cores (sometimes with cloth lining), printed faces and backs, then coated with a slippery finish (often plastic or varnish) for durability and to facilitate shuffling.

Handling and design. Historically and now, cards are designed for easy manipulation: rounded corners, consistent sizing. Early hand-painted decks were quite large (the Topkapi cards were ~252×95 mm) [25] but later standardized at ~63×88 mm (standard poker size). Artists and printers from different regions added flourishes: for instance, medieval Italian decks sometimes included elaborate borders or miniature scenes on the aces [26]. The basic mechanical system of card games (shuffle, deal, draw, discard) relies on physical decks acting as randomizers and organizers of information. In a metaphorical sense, a deck serves as a *physical database* of cards, from which small subsets ("hands") are sampled by drawing.

Evolution of Card Games and Symbolism

Card play diversified rapidly across cultures. Early Chinese and Persian games involved trick-taking or drink-based forfeits [27]. By the Renaissance, elaborate games like Tarot (1460s Italy) added layered symbolism: suits of cups, swords, batons, coins persisted, but special *trump* cards (the 22 Major Arcana) introduced

archetypal imagery [15]. The Met Museum notes that by mid-15th c., Italian suits (Cups, Swords, Batons, Coins) were set, and tarot simply added *Trump* cards (Fool through World) for trick-taking play [28]. European card games like Karnöffel (Germany, 1420s) introduced custom hierarchies of suit-power, further evolving gambling and trick games. Over time, popular games (poker, bridge, rummy, etc.) emerged using the standard 52-card deck (and jokers), embedding cards in worldwide culture.

Art and semiotics. Playing cards have long been art objects. Early decks were often lavishly illuminated, appearing in inventories alongside luxury goods [26]. Courts and guilds commissioned bespoke decks: for example, Milanese courts spent vast sums for decks depicting classical gods and emblems [26]. As cards became mass-produced, they still featured regionally meaningful art. Spanish tarot ("Flemish" style) had esoteric imagery; French Revolutionaries reinterpreted card iconography as political allegory.

Modern art and pop culture continue this tradition. The **French Revolution "Revolutionnaires" deck (1792)** famously replaced Kings, Queens, Jacks with figures embodying revolutionary ideals [29] [30]. In one card, a peasant stomping on a "Nobility" scroll stood for the overthrow of privilege [29]. Thus, cards became vehicles for ideology: the Revolutionnaires deck explicitly codified Liberty, Equality, Fraternity into its court cards [29] [30]. This illustrates how easily card symbols can be repurposed as social or political messages.

In language and myth, cards also carry symbols. We use card metaphors (e.g. "ace up one's sleeve," "face cards," "house of cards," "trump card") to signify hidden advantages, hierarchy, and vulnerability in schemes. Tarot's archetypes (the Fool, Death, Tower, etc.) have penetrated art and literature as universal symbols of fate and personality. Remarkably, even **artificial intelligences "recognize" these archetypes**: Ralph Losey (2025) argues that the 22 Tarot images encode deep human themes (hope, hubris, etc.) that persist in AI discourse. He notes that generative models trained on vast imagery "already encode" the Tarot's visual symbols [31]. Thus card imagery forms a semiotic bridge, understood by both humans and AI.

Psychology and Neurology of Collecting Cards

Why do people collect cards (or collectibles generally)? Neuroscience and psychology suggest multiple drives. At the neural level, collecting triggers the brain's **reward system**: obtaining a desired card releases dopamine and associated pleasure [32] [33]. For example, completing a set or finding a rare card gives a "rush" that reinforces the behavior [32]. Dopamine also encourages exploratory behavior – acquiring new variants, chasing rarer cards [33]. Over time, collecting becomes partially self-sustaining: the brain's predictive reward circuits anticipate gratification from future finds [33].

On a cognitive level, humans have an innate **pattern-recognition and categorization drive**. Organizing and classifying items reduces cognitive load and satisfies our sense-making impulse [34]. Collectors often arrange cards by suit, set, theme, or rarity – a pursuit that engages the Default Mode Network in the brain (the "inner artist") to see connections and narratives between items [35] [34]. For instance, a Pokémon or baseball card collector might arrange cards to reveal patterns of design or statistical milestones, deriving pleasure from both order and discovery. Finishing a collection feels like solving a puzzle, triggering reward pathways [34].

Social factors are also crucial. Collections serve as **status and identity signals**. Displaying rare or complete card sets announces expertise, dedication, or belonging to a fan community [36]. Anthropologically, sharing a prized card can forge social bonds (trading, showing off). The "psychology of social class" implies that accumulated possessions (like collectibles) can nonverbally communicate wealth, taste, or group

membership [36]. Collector clubs, conventions, and trading forums further reinforce identity: being a "completed set" collector (a "completist") is socially rewarded [37]. Thus, cards satisfy both intrinsic neurochemical drives (novelty, mastery) and extrinsic social desires (recognition, status) [33] [36].

In summary, card collecting combines creative cognition with reward-seeking. Neuroimaging studies suggest collectors' brains oscillate between imaginative pairing (What does this new card mean to *me*?) and analytical curation (Where does it fit in my collection?) [35] [33]. Dopamine spikes reinforce the hunt, while pattern-finding in memory networks provides enduring satisfaction. Psychologically, cards (with their vivid imagery and rarity cues) are especially potent triggers of these reward patterns, perhaps more so than generic objects, because they merge visual appeal with game-related significance.

Digital Cards and Tokens

The "card" concept has expanded into the digital realm. **Video games and apps** often include "cards" as collectible items or abilities (e.g. *Hearthstone*, *Gwent*, *Clash Royale*). These digital cards are intangible but function much like physical ones: they have identities (artwork, stats, abilities) and are assembled into decks for play. In-game currencies and "token economies" also mimic card mechanics: for example, drawing a card may consume a token, or tokens (coins, gems) can be spent on randomized packs of cards. Thus digital games create virtual card economies, often with real-money transactions.

A recent development is **NFT (Non-Fungible Token) cards**. An NFT card is a unique digital asset on a blockchain, representing ownership of a specific card image or item. Kaleido's blockchain blog explains that a traditional digital card (replicable file) differs from an NFT card in that *"each NFT trading card has a specific digital signature… which certifies its ownership, authenticity, and scarcity"* [38]. In other words, an NFT card is a cryptographic token certifying that *you* own the "original" of that card image, even if it can be copied. Owning NFT cards can have monetary value: they can be bought, sold, or traded on marketplaces. For example, sports franchises and artists have released limited-edition NFT trading cards to engage fans and reward customers [39].

In blockchain games (so-called play-to-earn), card-like NFTs often yield tokens. For instance, some blockchain card games let players earn cryptocurrency or rare NFTs by playing. As one economist notes, games like *Axie Infinity* merge crypto-tokens and NFTs so that in-game cards and items become investable assets [40]. In these systems, "cards" (in-game items) and "tokens" (currency or asset certificates) converge: sometimes a card itself *is* an NFT token, and sometimes cards are traded for fungible tokens. The distinction is that **cards typically carry game-specific content (rules, art, rarity), whereas tokens can be more abstract (currency, points)**. However, the boundary blurs: NFT cards are themselves blockchain tokens. The Kaleido article emphasizes this: *"NFTs are essentially a token or certificate… making [the asset] unique and immutable"* [38] [41].

Thus today's cards can be both symbolic and economic units. They symbolize fandom or strategy in games, and simultaneously represent real value (especially when transferrable outside the game). Collectors of Pokemon cards now also speculate on NFT card values. In effect, digital cards carry forward the semiotic role of physical cards (art and lore) while adding ledger-backed scarcity. When is a card just a token? When it is implemented as a blockchain asset. When distinct? When it exists outside such a ledger as part of a closed system. As practical examples, the Kaleido source notes NFT trading cards used by companies as customer rewards [42], while research into play-to-earn gaming shows entire economies built on playing card NFTs and tokens [40].

Cards, Tokens, and Diagrams in Epistemology

Beyond gaming, card metaphors permeate knowledge systems. A **deck** can model a finite set of possibilities, a **hand** an incomplete sample, a **draw** a random event. In epistemology and mathematics, decks behave like *finite sets* or even *uniform probability spaces*. One can map cards to graph vertices, with deals as random edges. Indeed, card probability problems reduce to combinatorics and graphs (e.g. graph of possible 5-card poker hands). More abstractly, sorting cards by suits or ranks is analogous to categorizing data or filtering features in a chart. (For instance, think of a scatter plot: grouping data points by symbol or color is like separating cards by suit.)

Analogously, **charts and graphs** often borrow card-like metaphors: tables of data can be seen as "hand of facts", and drawing a chart may be likened to "laying out a hand" to see relationships. While formal literature on "cards versus charts" is scarce, educators use physical index cards for data exploration (card-sorting to discover clusters). The *diagrammatic reasoning* literature notes that visual symbols (like card pictures) activate human pattern recognition much as graphs do [43]. Both cards and charts externalize information to aid cognition.

In sum, card-systems share conceptual space with tables, charts, and databases. Each has discrete *cells* of information; a shuffle-draw sequence is a form of randomized algorithm. This analogy suggests that cards as knowledge units invite structures: "card deck" as a matrix, "drawing" akin to sampling without replacement in statistics, "shuffling" as randomizing algorithm, "sorting into suits" as classification. Such metaphors can be surprisingly useful: for example, data scientists sometimes refer to "features as playing cards" when discussing ensemble models or random forests (each "card" is a decision rule or attribute, and a "hand" a model).

Cards in AI Interface and Future Symbolic Systems

Card metaphors also appear in human–computer interaction. **AI interface design** often uses card decks as UI elements (e.g. "card layouts" on mobile apps). This is literal (a grid of "cards" on a screen), but also conceptual: conversational agents sometimes present options as clickable "cards," each representing a question or action. Using cards in UX plays on humans' familiarity with dealing and choosing from hands of options. Furthermore, game-like AI tools (e.g. flashcard training, recommendation algorithms) implicitly use card logic: they present a sequence of items (cards) to reveal or test knowledge.

More speculatively, researchers have noted deep affinities between **Tarot archetypes and modern AI risks**. Ralph Losey (2025) uses 15th-century Tarot imagery to explain AI fears, arguing that AI headlines (deepfakes, black-box bias, etc.) are already foreshadowed in the symbolism of the *Major Arcana*. He writes that the 22 "higher" Tarot cards distill human ambition and error into archetypes (Hope, Hubris, Collapse) that align with AI challenges [31]. By pairing each AI issue with a Tarot card (e.g. "The Fool" for reckless innovation [44]), one gains a powerful visual mnemonic. This shows how card-based metaphors (a deck of dangers) can structure thinking about complex systems.

In **quantum computing and symbolic AI**, one might analogize qubits or knowledge bits to card draws. A quantum deck could be in superposition (cards "both in and out of hand" until measured). While actual quantum data structures use different math (Hilbert spaces), researchers exploring *quantum-inspired* algorithms sometimes talk of data points as "cards" in a deck being sampled. Likewise, in knowledge

representation, some work uses card-sorting metaphors for categorizing concepts. These are early ideas, but they hint that card-logic (decks, draws, hands) could serve as an intuitive framework even in advanced computing.

Post-Human and Agentive Card Systems

Looking beyond humans, could advanced artificial agents or future intelligent systems *use* cards as cognitive tools? We can speculate. An autonomous agent might encode memories or beliefs as a "deck of cards," where each card is a discrete memory token. Drawing a card corresponds to recalling or enacting that memory. Such a deck could be shuffled or reorganized to simulate random events or to select actions based on probability. In multi-agent settings, a "shared deck" could represent communal knowledge or conventions – reminiscent of how historical games standardized culture through shared card archetypes.

In robotics, physical or virtual "cards" could index components: a robot might activate functions by "drawing the Gun card" or "the Key card," linking to symbol tokens that trigger behaviors. In quantum or hybrid cognitive architectures, one could imagine qudit-decks where each "card" is a superposed concept, and measurement of a card collapses to a specific outcome. While these ideas are speculative, they follow the rationale that cards are convenient units of discrete information with well-defined rules for manipulation – traits that any intelligent system (biological or artificial) might exploit for memory, decision, or communication.

As embodiments diversify (AI in bodies, swarms, or software), card-like structures could underpin novel interfaces. For example, a swarm of drones might each carry a "card" of data about the environment, and exchanging cards (wireless transmissions) parallels trading hands. Or an AI agent might flash "cards" on a display to explain its reasoning to humans. In short, the card metaphor (deck, hand, draw, trump) offers a rich schema for organizing knowledge and choice. Post-human intelligences might find card-systems useful precisely because they are simple, modular, and symbolically potent.

Conclusion

Playing cards have journeyed from ancient China to digital blockchains, embodying cultural motifs and cognitive functions along the way. Their **etymology and early history** reflect cross-cultural transmission: Chinese paper-leaf games gave rise to Middle Eastern suits, which in turn shaped European decks [2] [17] [14]. The **mechanics of card manufacture** – from guild crafts and pasteboard layers to modern printing – show cards as both artisanal objects and industrial products [18] [23]. Symbolically, cards have been canvases for art, language, and ideology (from Tarot archetypes to revolutionary propaganda) [29] [16]. Psychologically, card collecting taps deep neural circuits for reward and pattern-making [33] [34]. In the digital era, cards have become NFTs and game tokens, blurring lines between play and economy [38] [40]. Throughout, cards function analogously to charts or database rows – discrete chunks of knowledge that can be shuffled, sorted, and visualized.

Finally, as we look to AI and post-human futures, the card paradigm offers a bridge between symbol and system. Whether as user-interface cards on a screen or as conceptual tokens in an AI's "mind," cards remain versatile logic units. They are simple yet archetypal: humans instinctively understand "drawing a card of fate," and machines trained on our imagery do too [31]. Perhaps one day, intelligent agents will deal with decks of information, reshuffling data as easily as we shuffle cards. If so, the tiny card — once a whimsical

pastime — will have come full circle, from a human entertainment to a fundamental element of cognition itself.

Works Cited

- Husband, Tim. “Before Fortune-Telling: The History and Structure of Tarot Cards.” *The Metropolitan Museum of Art*, 8 Apr. 2016, www.metmuseum.org/blogs/met-history/2016/before-fortune-telling.
- Losey, Ralph. *Archetypes Over Algorithms: How an Ancient Card Set Clarifies Modern AI Risk*. e-Discovery Team blog, May 6, 2025, e-discoveryteam.com/2025/05/06/archetypes-over-algorithms.
- Parlett, David. *The Chinese “Leaf” Game*. parlettgames.uk/histocs/leafgame.html (accessed 2025).
- Tan, Gordon Kuo Siong. “Assetizing the Video Game: Play-to-Earn (P2E) Games and Blockchain Rentiership.” *Progress in Economic Geography*, vol. 3, no. 1, June 2025, 100036.
- Wilkinson, William H. *Chinese Origin of Playing Cards*. *American Anthropologist*, vol. 8, no. 1, Jan. 1895, pp. 61–78.
- “Card – Etymology, Origin & Meaning.” *Online Etymology Dictionary*, Douglas Harper, 2026, www.etymonline.com/word/card.
- *Encyclopaedia Britannica*, 1st ed., vol. 2, 1771. (In *Manufacture of Playing Cards in 1825*, World of Playing Cards, www.wopc.co.uk/playing-cards/manufacture.)
- *Inside the Collector’s Brain: How Creativity Drives Desire*. *Psychology Today*, 2025, www.psychologytoday.com/us/blog/the-mind-of-a-collector/202505/inside-the-collectors-brain.
- *The Psychology Behind Our Desire to Collect and Curate*. Lion Crown LLC blog, Aug. 12, 2025, lioncrownllc.com/the-psychology-behind-our-desire-to-collect-and-curate.
- “NFT Cards: Using Digital Trading Cards to Engage Customers.” Kaleido blog, Apr. 29, 2024, www.kaleido.io/blockchain-blog/nft-trading-cards-for-attracting-customers.
- “Playing Cards Were Invented in China.” *Sinica News*, news.sinica.edu.tw/2022/07/04/cards-china. (Summarized by Parlett.)

Figures and Tables: No figures are included. All visual information (e.g. descriptions of card designs) is provided in the text for accessibility.

---

1 12 Card - Etymology, Origin & Meaning
https://www.etymonline.com/word/card

2 4 5 27 The Chinese "Leaf" Game, surveyed by David Parlett
https://www.parlettgames.uk/histocs/leafgame.html

3 6 8 11 13 14 16 The Dark History of Playing Cards
https://www.mildreports.com/2025/10/the-dark-history-of-playing-cards.html

7 9 25 Mamluk Playing Cards — Mamluk Playing Cards — The World of Playing Cards
https://www.wopc.co.uk/egypt/mamluk-playing-cards

10 17 kasf.atlantia.sca.org
https://kasf.atlantia.sca.org/wp-content/uploads/2021/02/12-al-Sayyida-Naila-al-Zarqa-Documentation.pdf

15 28 Before Fortune-Telling: The History and Structure of Tarot Cards - The Metropolitan Museum of Art
https://www.metmuseum.org/perspectives/tarot-2

18 19 20 21 22 23 24 26 Manufacture of Playing Cards — Manufacture of Playing Cards in 1825 — The World of Playing Cards
https://www.wopc.co.uk/playing-cards/manufacture

29 30 French With Shelley | Le Musée Français de la Carte à Jouer
https://old.frenchwithshelley.co.nz/index.php?id=2271

31 43 44 Archetypes Over Algorithms: How an Ancient Card Set Clarifies Modern AI Risk | e-Discovery Team
https://e-discoveryteam.com/2025/05/06/archetypes-over-algorithms-how-an-ancient-card-set-clarifies-modern-ai-risk/

32 34 36 37 The Psychology Behind Our Desire to Collect and Curate | Lion Crown LLC
https://lioncrownllc.com/the-psychology-behind-our-desire-to-collect-and-curate/

33 35 Inside the Collector’s Brain: How Creativity Drives Desire | Psychology Today
https://www.psychologytoday.com/us/blog/the-mind-of-a-collector/202505/inside-the-collectors-brain-how-creativity-drives-desire

38 39 41 42 5 Proven NFT Trading Card Strategies That Drive Real Customer Growth
https://www.kaleido.io/blockchain-blog/nft-trading-cards-for-attracting-customers

40 Assetizing the video game: Play-to-earn (P2E) games and blockchain rentiership - ScienceDirect
https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/abs/pii/S294969422500001X