ChatGPT

Dimensional Aesthetics: The Interplay of Light, Optics, and Neural Perception

By Noel Michael Conrad and OpenAI

Light is fundamental to both physics and human experience, forming a bridge between the external world and our internal perception. In **dimensional aesthetics**, a term we adopt here, we explore how light behaves across multiple dimensions – as waves and particles, in interaction with itself and matter – and how the human visual system (the eye and brain) receives and processes these optical phenomena. This interdisciplinary exploration spans **physical optics** (the equations and principles governing light), **visual optics** (the eye as a biomechanical imaging device), and **neurocognition** (the brain's decoding of visual information and its physiological responses). By examining these interconnected aspects, we gain insight into how light acts as a communication system between the environment and the observer, and how this interaction can affect perception, hormones, memory, and ultimately the aesthetic experience. In what follows, we dissect the physics of light and vision, the biology of the eye and brain, and the implications for cognition and art – all from the perspective of an all-knowing physicist, neurologist, and visual developer.

The Physics of Light: Waves, Particles, and Interference

Modern physics recognizes light as an electromagnetic wave that also exhibits particle-like behavior. **James Clerk Maxwell's equations** (1860s) unified electricity, magnetism, and optics by demonstrating that visible light is one manifestation of electromagnetic waves [1]. Maxwell showed that oscillating electric and magnetic fields can sustain each other and propagate through space at a constant speed (approximately 3×10^8 m/s) – the speed of light [2]. This was a monumental insight: *electricity, magnetism, and even light are all manifestations of the same phenomenon, the electromagnetic field* [1]. Mathematically, Maxwell's theory predicted that a change in electric field generates a magnetic field and vice versa, yielding a wave equation for light. One result is that the speed of light c is related to fundamental constants by $c = 1/\sqrt{(\mu_0 \varepsilon_0)}$, linking the dimensions of electricity and magnetism to optics. The wavelength (λ) and frequency (f) of light are tied by $c = \lambda \cdot f$, meaning higher-frequency light has shorter wavelength.

Despite its wavelike nature (capable of interference and diffraction), light also comes in discrete quanta known as **photons**. The energy of each photon is given by Planck's relation $E = h \cdot f$, where h is Planck's constant. In the visible spectrum, photon energies range roughly from 1.6 eV (red light) to 3.3 eV (violet light). These tiny energy packets have profound biological significance: in the eye's photoreceptors, a single photon can trigger a cascade of biochemical events leading to a nerve impulse. The dual wave-particle nature of light is thus central to how it transmits information and energy to the visual system.

One of the most striking behaviors of light as a wave is its ability to **interfere** with itself, creating patterns of constructive and destructive interference. When two coherent light waves meet, their electric fields superpose; if they are in phase, they reinforce (bright fringes), and if out of phase, they cancel out (dark fringes). The classic Young's double-slit experiment produces alternating bright and dark bands on a screen, demonstrating that light can *interact with itself*. The condition for constructive interference in a double-slit

setup is $d \cdot \sin\theta = m\cdot\lambda$ (for integer m), illustrating how path difference and wavelength determine the fringe angles. In general, interference patterns encode detailed information about the light's phase and coherence.

A powerful application of interference is **holography**, which records and reconstructs the full wavefront of light. In making a hologram, a reference beam and an object beam of coherent light (usually laser) are superimposed on a photosensitive medium [3]. The resulting interference pattern – essentially a complex diffraction grating – is recorded in the medium. Later, illuminating this recorded pattern with just the reference beam causes it to diffract in such a way as to re-create the original object beam, effectively producing a 3D visual reconstruction of the scene [3] [4]. Importantly, the interference fringes act as an information-storage system: the spacing of fringes is related to the angle between object and reference beams and the wavelength [5]. Thus, *light interacting with itself* (via interference) can store visual information that can be communicated later – a striking example of light serving as a **communication system**. Indeed, holography shows how **light's multiple dimensions** (intensity, phase, wavelength, polarization) can carry information far beyond a simple 2D image, encoding depth and perspective in what appears to be a flat pattern.

The concept of light interference and information encoding has even inspired theories in neuroscience. For over 40 years, scientists have debated holographic or interferometric models of perception and memory [6]. Karl Pribram's **holonomic brain theory** (1960s) postulated that the brain might store and retrieve memories in a manner analogous to holography, with neural networks creating and reading interference patterns. Dennis Gabor (inventor of holography) similarly suggested a **holographic model of memory**, comparing the brain's memory processes to holographic storage [7]. These ideas remain largely theoretical and metaphorical – the brain doesn't literally use laser holograms – but they underscore an intriguing parallel: *the human brain may process complex interference-like patterns of neural activity to construct perceptions*, much as a hologram reconstructs a visual scene from interference fringes. Whether or not these specific theories hold, the broader point stands: **light is a carrier of information**, and understanding its wave behavior (like interference and diffraction) helps us appreciate how rich visual information can be encoded, transmitted, and decoded.

The Eye as an Optical Instrument

The human **eye** is a sophisticated biomechanical optical device that gathers light and focuses images onto a receptive screen (the retina). In many respects, the eye behaves like a camera – an analogy that is more than superficial, since modern cameras are explicitly modeled after the eye's design [8]. The eye's optical system consists of a *cornea* and *lens* that together form an adjustable, compound lens, an *iris* that acts as an aperture to control light intake, and a curved surface at the back (retina) where an image is formed. The total optical power of the relaxed human eye is about **60 diopters**, meaning it has a focal length of approximately 1/60 m ≈ **17 mm** [9] – roughly the diameter of the eyeball itself. This coincidence is nature's clever design: an image of the world is focused onto the curved retinal surface just inside the back of our globe-like eyes.

Most of the refractive power (bending of light) in the eye comes from the **cornea**, the transparent front surface. The cornea has a fixed shape and refractive index and contributes on the order of 40–43 diopters of the eye's power [10]. Immediately behind the cornea is the aqueous humor (clear fluid) and then the **crystalline lens**, which provides the remaining ~15–20 diopters [11] [12]. The lens is a living, flexible structure that can change its shape (curvature) through the action of the **ciliary muscles**. When focusing on

distant objects, the ciliary muscles relax, flattening the lens (minimal power, focusing at infinity); for near objects, the muscles contract, making the lens more curved and increasing its optical power to bring close images into focus on the retina [13]. This process, called **accommodation**, is why reading or detailed close work can cause eye strain – it requires sustained contraction of the ciliary muscles to keep the lens in a bulged, high-power state [14].

The **iris** is another critical component: a colored ring of muscle fibers that adjusts the size of the **pupil** (the central aperture). In bright conditions, the iris constricts the pupil to limit light entry (improving depth of field and protecting the retina from excessive illumination); in dim conditions, it dilates the pupil to gather more light. The range of human pupil diameter (about 2 mm to 8 mm) corresponds to an intensity range of roughly 16:1 reaching the retina, but our overall visual system can handle a much larger range of light intensities through neural adaptation. The iris also contributes to image quality by reducing aberrations when stopped down – a small pupil minimizes optical blurs at the expense of diffraction limits.

Behind the lens, filling the eye's interior, is the transparent **vitreous humor**, and at the very back lies the **retina** – the light-detecting screen. The retina is a delicate, structured neural tissue about 0.5 mm thick that lines the interior surface of the eye [15]. It is here that the optical image (focused by cornea and lens) is transduced into neural signals. Notably, the retina is part of the brain in an embryological sense – it develops from neural tissue – making the eye literally an extension of the central nervous system into the periphery [16]. The retina's architecture features multiple layers of neurons, but the stars of the show are the **photoreceptor cells** – the rods and cones – which reside in the layer called the photoreceptor layer (historically "Jacob's membrane") [17]. There are over 100 million photoreceptors in a human retina [18], distributed non-uniformly: approximately 120 million **rods** and 6 million **cones** per eye, though exact numbers vary by individual [18]. This abundance of sensors gives the eye a high sampling resolution, analogous to a very high pixel count in a camera sensor.

Rods are extremely sensitive, slender receptors (~1–2 μm in diameter) specialized for low-light (scotopic) vision. Astonishingly, a single photon can trigger a rod photoreceptor to respond – rods *"need only one photon to function"* [19]. This single-photon sensitivity is near the theoretical limit of light detection and underscores the quantal nature of vision: under very dark conditions, your ability to see is constrained by the arrival of individual photons. Rods contain the photopigment **rhodopsin** (visual purple), a combination of a protein (opsin) and a chromophore called 11-cis retinal (a derivative of vitamin A) [20]. When a photon is absorbed by 11-cis retinal, it rapidly isomerizes to all-trans retinal [21]. This molecular shape change initiates a cascade of biochemical reactions (the phototransduction cascade), leading to the closure of ion channels in the photoreceptor cell membrane. The change in ion flow hyperpolarizes the rod cell, which in turn alters the release of neurotransmitter at its synapse with downstream neurons. In short, the photon's energy is converted into an electrical signal. Through a "liquid wiring" of neurotransmitters and graded potentials, that signal eventually causes **action potentials** in the retinal ganglion cells that carry information down the optic nerve.

Cones, in contrast, are less sensitive (requiring tens or hundreds of photons to reliably trigger) but provide color vision and high visual acuity. They are larger (~10 μm across) conical cells concentrated in the **macula**, especially at its center (the **fovea**) [22] [23]. Cones come in three types, each with a different opsin protein tuned to a particular wavelength range – roughly classified as S (short, blue-sensitive around 440 nm), M (medium, green-sensitive ~540 nm), and L (long, red-sensitive ~560 nm) cones [24]. Together, the three cone types support **trichromatic color vision**: by comparing the signals from these cones, the brain can infer the wavelength composition of light and distinguish on the order of up to a million color shades in an average

human [25]. Cones are responsible for daylight (photopic) vision and resolve fine spatial detail – at the fovea, cone spacing is on the order of 2.5 microns, enabling visual acuity near the theoretical diffraction limit of the eye's optics (~1 arcminute resolution).

The optical quality of the image on the retina is high but not perfect – aberrations, diffraction, and ocular scatter impose limits. Interestingly, the eye's optics create an inverted image on the retina (as any simple lens system would). The brain ultimately "flips" this in perception, but more importantly it **interprets** the signals to build our visual reality. Before delving into the neural processing, one should note the sheer compression that happens: those ~126 million photoreceptors feed into on the order of 1 million retinal ganglion cell fibers that form the **optic nerve** (often cited as ~1.0–1.5 million fibers per optic nerve) [26]. That is more than a hundredfold data reduction – a testament to how much processing (edge detection, motion detection, light adaptation) is already happening in the retina itself *before* the information even reaches the brain. In fact, the eye "preprocesses" visuals in real time, performing tasks analogous to finding edges, detecting contrast, and adjusting gain (adaptation to overall light levels), much like a sophisticated camera or computer vision system.

From Eye to Brain: Visual Signal Processing and Perception

The **optic nerve**, carrying the coded outputs of the retina's roughly one million ganglion cells, projects to the brain's visual centers. The most prominent target is the **lateral geniculate nucleus (LGN)** of the thalamus, a waystation that relays signals to the **primary visual cortex** in the occipital lobe. Once in the cortex, the visual information undergoes elaboration and interpretation by a hierarchy of neural circuits. The brain, as a highly parallel processor, begins by sorting the incoming data into different feature channels – a process neuroscientists have mapped in remarkable detail.

In the **primary visual cortex (V1)**, neurons are organized retinotopically (forming a spatial map corresponding to the retinal image) and respond to simple features such as oriented edges, lines, and angles. Classic experiments by Hubel and Wiesel revealed that V1 contains many **orientation-selective cells** – neurons that fire strongly when a line or edge of a specific orientation appears in their receptive field [27]. This can be thought of as the brain performing a form of **feature extraction**: the raw pixel-like inputs from the retina are transformed into a sketch of contours and boundaries. V1 cells also respond to spatial frequency patterns, motion direction (for a subset), and other basic attributes. This information is then passed to higher visual areas in two major streams.

The **ventral stream** (the "what" pathway) extends from V1 to V2, V4, and into the inferior temporal cortex. It is responsible for processing object shapes, colors, and details – essentially recognizing *what* we are looking at [28]. Neurons in these areas respond to increasingly complex stimuli (e.g., geometric shapes, then specific objects or even faces). The ventral stream also interacts with memory networks, allowing recognition and identification; indeed it is associated with long-term visual memory storage for object identities [29] [30].

The **dorsal stream** (the "where" or "how" pathway) goes from V1/V2 to V5/MT and into the parietal cortex. It processes motion, spatial relationships, and coordinates actions with vision – essentially understanding *where* objects are and how to interact with them [31]. The dorsal pathway enables tasks like tracking moving objects, judging depth and distance (in concert with binocular vision cues), and hand-eye coordination for reaching or navigating.

These two streams illustrate how the brain parses the multi-dimensional input of light into different information dimensions internally: form and identity vs. motion and location [28]. Notably, they recombine at higher levels to produce a coherent visual experience. Our perception is thus an active reconstruction – the brain *builds what we see* by decoding the retinal signals and interpreting them based on neural wiring and past experience. As the Cleveland Clinic's description analogized, the retina *"turns what it detects into coded nerve signals"*, sends them along the optic nerve, and *"your brain decodes the signals and uses them to 'build' what you see"* [32]. In effect, the brain is the ultimate **image processor**, assembling a three-dimensional, colored, dynamic mental model of the world from the stream of action potentials arriving from the eyes.

Crucially, this neural decoding is not a passive reception but an interactive process. The brain fills in gaps (as in the case of the blind spot where the optic nerve leaves the eye – we normally don't notice a hole in our vision because the brain interpolates surrounding details). It adjusts for context (colors appear constant under different lighting because of color constancy mechanisms in the cortex). It also applies past knowledge – one reason illusions can fool us is that the brain is applying reasonable assumptions (e.g., about perspective or lighting) that normally aid survival but in contrived scenarios lead to misperception.

An important aspect of visual perception is its **dynamic range** and adaptability. The combined eye-brain system can function across an enormous range of light intensities – from starlight to bright sunshine, a range of 10^10 or more in luminance. Some of this range is handled by the pupil and the duplex retina (rods vs. cones), but beyond that, neural adaptation (changes in photoreceptor sensitivity, retinal circuit gain, and cortical processing) kicks in. Psychophysically, the relationship between physical light intensity and perceived brightness is highly nonlinear. The classic **Weber–Fechner law** holds that perceived sensation grows roughly as the logarithm of physical stimulus intensity [33]. In practical terms, doubling the number of photons (or light intensity) does *not* double the perceived brightness – the increase in perception is much more modest, especially at higher intensities [34]. This logarithmic or power-law response helps the visual system cope with a broad range of inputs by compressing the scale of perception. It also explains why **gamma correction** is needed in imaging: electronic displays encode brightness in a nonlinear way (exponential/gamma encoding) to match the human eye's nonlinear response, ensuring that equal steps in digital intensity produce visually equal steps in brightness [35] [36]. Thus, our biology itself demands a form of dimensional transformation – linear physical light measurements must be converted to nonlinearly coded signals for efficient use by the brain.

Light as a Biological Regulator: Non-Visual Pathways, Hormones, and Memory

Beyond forming images for sight, light also acts as a powerful **biological signal** that can affect our brain chemistry, hormonal cycles, and cognitive functions. The eye contains a small subset of photoreceptive cells (intrinsically photosensitive retinal ganglion cells, or ipRGCs) that are not primarily for sight but for measuring overall light levels and sending that information to brain regions that regulate circadian rhythms, arousal, and hormone production. This is often called the **non-image-forming** visual pathway.

Through this pathway, light exposure – particularly in the blue wavelengths (~480 nm, to which the photopigment melanopsin is most sensitive – can suppress the hormone **melatonin** (produced by the pineal gland at night) and modulate **cortisol** levels, as well as directly alter brain activity in regions governing alertness and cognition [37] [38]. Acute exposure to light has been shown to cause immediate

physiological effects: for instance, **bright light can acutely suppress melatonin release, constrict pupils, and increase subjective and objective alertness** [38]. Functional brain imaging in humans confirms that light can activate cortical and subcortical areas involved in arousal, attention, and memory – even in the absence of conscious visual perception of any image [37]. In other words, a bright light pulse can "energize" the brain's arousal networks directly. On longer timescales, the daily cycle of light and dark *entrains the circadian rhythm* – the internal 24-hour clock – influencing sleep-wake cycles, metabolism, and mood [38]. Mis-timed light (such as exposure to screens or room light late at night) can shift or disrupt this clock, leading to **circadian desynchronization**.

One concrete example of light's immediate impact is on the stress hormone **cortisol**. Normally, cortisol peaks in the early morning (the cortisol awakening response) and declines by evening. Studies have found that transitioning from dim to bright light in the morning can induce a spike in cortisol and suppress melatonin – essentially reinforcing the body's wake-up signal [39]. Bright light exposure at night, conversely, tends to suppress melatonin (delaying the onset of sleepiness) and can even acutely reduce or increase cortisol depending on timing and individual physiology [40] [41]. Light's effect on mood is evident in conditions like **Seasonal Affective Disorder (SAD)**, a form of depression in which the low light levels of winter trigger low mood and energy; appropriately timed bright light therapy in the morning is an effective treatment. Indeed, light has **mood-enhancing and alerting effects** beyond its visual function [38].

Perhaps most intriguing is emerging research on how light affects **cognitive performance and memory**. A growing field of study asks whether exposure to certain lighting conditions can improve or impair memory, learning, and executive functions. Some findings have been surprising. In **nocturnal rodents** (which naturally avoid light), bright light exposure can be aversive and was found to either facilitate or impair memory depending on context. For example, one study showed that if mice were trained to recognize objects, their memory tested under a bright light (350 lux) was significantly *impaired* compared to testing under dim light [42]. The bright light at the time of memory retrieval disrupted their object recognition performance, regardless of whether the learning occurred in dim or bright conditions [43]. Strikingly, this effect depended on the presence of both classical photoreceptors and the melanopsin-based light sensors in the retina: knockout mice lacking rods and cones, or lacking melanopsin, did not show the memory impairment under bright light [44]. This indicates that the brain integrates signals from both image-forming and non-image-forming photoreceptors to modulate cognitive processes like recognition memory [45]. In other words, light isn't just letting the mice see the objects (the cones/rods do that) – it's also sending *affective or arousal signals* that alter the brain's ability to recall or distinguish the objects.

Another study found that light can modulate learned fear responses. Mice exposed to a light pulse during a fear-conditioning experiment (where a tone is paired with a mild shock) exhibited enhanced freezing (a fear behavior) to the tone later – essentially the light boosted the formation or expression of the fear memory [46]. This effect, too, was partly dependent on melanopsin-driven signals, as mice lacking melanopsin had a reduced fear enhancement [46]. Such findings suggest that light level can influence the emotional memory encoding in the amygdala or related circuits. In diurnal creatures like humans, the effects can differ; some human studies report that brighter lighting in workplaces or study environments improves alertness and working memory, while others find it can be distracting or overstimulating if not timed correctly [47] [48]. The **circadian phase** seems to matter: a bright light during the biological night (when one's body expects darkness) can have stronger effects on brain activation and may impair some cognitive functions (as it did in the mouse object recognition test), whereas during the day a bright light might boost alertness with less downside [49] [50].

Research in healthy humans has shown that even moderate indoor lighting can have measurable cognitive effects. In one experiment, office workers in higher illuminance (brighter office lighting) reported greater alertness and performed slightly better on some cognitive tasks than in standard lighting [50]. Blue-enriched light (which targets the melanopsin pathway) in particular has been found to improve alertness and sustained attention, especially in the early morning or during night shifts [51] [52]. On the other hand, excessive exposure to blue-rich light in the evening (such as using LED screens before bed) has been found to increase alertness at a time when winding down is important, thereby **delaying melatonin secretion and disturbing subsequent sleep** [53]. Chronic exposure to irregular light-dark schedules (like for shift workers or people with poor nighttime light hygiene) is associated with mood disturbances and poorer cognitive function over time [38] [51]. Thus, light has a dual role: it *acutely* serves as a stimulant and modulator of brain state, and *chronically* it entrains and maintains healthy rhythmic physiology which supports cognition and mood.

To summarize these findings, light in its various dimensions (intensity, wavelength, timing) acts as a **communication signal to the brain**, not only forming images for us to interpret, but directly regulating our internal state. It is a communication that happens at a "machine level" – the level of biochemical pathways and neural circuits. For example, melanopsin-containing retinal cells convey ambient light information to the suprachiasmatic nucleus (the master clock in the hypothalamus), which in turn modulates the pineal gland's melatonin production [54]. They also send signals to brain regions like the locus coeruleus (involved in alertness) and the hippocampus (involved in memory), thereby influencing cognitive processing states [37] [55]. Light literally *talks* to the brain in a language of neural impulses: a bright morning tells the brain to boost cortisol and prepare for activity [39], while a dim evening tells it to release melatonin and initiate sleep preparation [51]. If the communication is scrambled (bright lights at midnight, or darkness at noon), the human machine can experience stress, confusion, and impaired function.

Implications for Art, Visual Technology, and Dimensional Aesthetics

Understanding the interplay of light's physical behavior and the viewer's perception has rich implications for the arts and visual technologies. A **scientific artist** or **visual developer** armed with this knowledge can manipulate light in multi-dimensional ways to achieve desired aesthetic or cognitive effects. This holistic approach is what we call **dimensional aesthetics**: it considers not just the immediate visual appearance of an artwork or display, but how the underlying light properties (spectrum, polarization, coherence, intensity patterns) interact with the viewer's eyes and brain.

For instance, consider **color and spectrum**. Traditional color theory in art is based on pigment mixing or three-primary additive mixing, but with modern lighting (LEDs, for example), an artist can tune specific wavelengths. Knowing that the human eye has three cone types peaking in the blue, green, and red, one can design lighting that maximally stimulates certain cones or even adds near-UV or infrared components that alter the appearance of fluorescent materials. Moreover, beyond the visible spectrum, some wavelengths affect us non-visually – e.g. deep blue light may not add much to the perceived color of a white artwork but can greatly increase melanopsin stimulation, thus affecting the viewer's alertness and mood [56] [52]. A gallery might use slightly blue-enriched lighting in morning exhibits to invigorate viewers, and warmer low-blue lighting in evening exhibits to calm them. This is dimensional aesthetics in action: leveraging the **biological dimension** of light response in concert with the visual dimension.

Another example is **contrast and dynamic range**. A deep understanding of how the eye adapts and how brightness is perceived (logarithmically) can inform how an image or installation is lit. High dynamic range (HDR) imaging techniques deliberately present a very broad range of luminance in a scene. If done properly (and accompanied by controlled viewing conditions), this can evoke in the viewer the same awe and emotional response as the real scene (like a sunset or a starry sky) because it's pushing the visual system to its adaptation limits in a way typical displays cannot. The creator must consider that the eye looking at a bright HDR sun in an image will constrict and change the perception of darker parts of the image – much as in real life. Thus the art of **tone mapping** (compressing real-world luminance to displayable range) often employs models of the human visual response (Weber-Fechner law, etc.) to preserve realistic appearances. By incorporating the *equations of perception* into the creation process, one achieves more compelling and comfortable visuals.

Interference and polarization offer yet another dimension. Artists have created works that use *polarized light* and birefringent materials to produce changing colors and patterns – the phenomenon of polarization is invisible to the naked eye normally, but through clever composition (polarizing filters given to viewers, or polarized projectors), one can introduce a hidden channel of imagery that only becomes visible under certain conditions. This calls to mind the idea of *multiple dimensional states of light interacting with itself*. A practical example is the use of **moiré patterns** or interference overlays in graphic design: by superimposing two patterns with a slight mismatch, new patterns emerge (a macroscopic analog to wave interference). While not interference in the physical-optics sense, moiré effects exploit the spatial frequency domain – essentially a *Fourier* dimension – to create visually striking and sometimes disorienting patterns that challenge the brain's processing, creating the illusion of motion or depth from flat images.

Speaking of depth, the ultimate dimensional aesthetic trick is creating a **3D experience** from 2D media. This can be done by stereoscopic methods (e.g., VR headsets or 3D glasses that feed each eye a slightly different image, leveraging binocular vision), or by **holographic displays** that use actual light wavefront reconstruction to form genuine 3D images in space. True holography, as discussed, uses interference patterns recorded on a medium. Artists using holography essentially paint with interference – they create physical records that, when illuminated, *diffract* light to produce floating images. The viewer's interaction with such holograms is unique: as they move, the perspective of the holographic image changes continuously, providing a parallax effect that our visual cortex eagerly interprets as real depth. From a neurological viewpoint, a well-made hologram can be almost indistinguishable from a real object to the visual system, because the light entering the eyes *is* the same as if the object were there. This underscores a profound principle: the brain trusts light's information, not the source. A flat paper that has encoded within it the correct interference pattern will send the same retinal signals as a real 3D scene – fooling the brain in a sense, or rather, communicating to the brain in the exact language it understands. This is the power of dimensional aesthetics: by understanding the **language of light and the neural code**, one can craft experiences that transcend the normal limits of space and medium.

Finally, consider how **memory and emotion** tie in. Certain lighting can trigger emotional memories (warm candlelight might evoke comfort, harsh fluorescents might recall sterile settings). Part of this is associative learning, but part may be physiological (color temperature affecting arousal). Artists who light their works or spaces with intent can guide not just what the audience sees, but *how they feel and what they recall*. If bright light during learning can improve consolidation of some memories (as one study on mice suggested light before learning improved memory consolidation at night) [57], perhaps an art installation wanting to be unforgettable might flood viewers with light at key moments to engage that mechanism. Conversely, to

encourage introspection or mellow mood, dimmer lighting can release the brakes on melatonin and calm the observer, potentially opening different modes of thinking or memory retrieval.

In **conclusion**, the study of dimensional aesthetics is an exploration of how equations and principles of light interact with the "biomechanical machine" of the human visual system. Light is not only a carrier of images; it is a multi-faceted signal that our body and mind continuously respond to. From Maxwell's equations describing electromagnetic waves, to the lens equation focusing an image on the retina, to the biochemical equations of phototransduction in rods and cones, to the neural network dynamics in visual cortex and the hormonal rhythms entrained by light – all these layers are deeply interwoven. By dissecting these layers, we see that *most information we gather is ultimately conveyed by light* [58], and that the eye and brain have evolved to decode this information reliably and efficiently. The eye is a superb optical instrument, capturing photons and translating them into electrical code; the brain is a vastly parallel decoder, extracting patterns and meaning; and light itself is a versatile messenger, capable of carrying not only the immediate appearance of objects but also cues about time, place, and atmosphere that regulate our internal state.

For the scientific artist or visual technologist, every equation mentioned – whether it is the interference condition, the thin-lens formula, or the neural response function – is more than just math: it is a tool for crafting experience. In mastering these, one can create visuals that resonate on multiple levels: optically intriguing, physiologically tuned, and cognitively engaging. The concepts of the eye as a device and light as a signal come together in this vision of art and science. Dimensional aesthetics encourages creators to work with the full palette that nature offers: the wave interference patterns hidden in a beam of light, the quantum sensitivity of photoreceptors, the rhythmic dance of circadian chemistry, and the neural symphony of perception and memory. By appreciating how light interacts with itself and with us – constructing and deconstructing patterns across dimensions – we unlock a deeper **comprehension** of both the physics of the universe and the physiology of our minds.

Works Cited

Ball, David. "The Baseline – Eyes: The Body's Own Spectroscopes." *Spectroscopy*, vol. 20, no. 4, 2005, pp. 1–4. (Print).

Campbell, Islay, et al. "Light as a Modulator of Non-Image-Forming Brain Functions—Positive and Negative Impacts of Increasing Light Availability." *Clocks & Sleep*, vol. 5, no. 1, 2023, pp. 116–140.

Cleveland Clinic. "Photoreceptors (Rods & Cones): Anatomy & Function." *Cleveland Clinic Health Library*, 6 May 2024, my.clevelandclinic.org/health/body/22233-photoreceptors-rods-cones.

Hughes, Steven, et al. "Modulation of Recognition Memory Performance by Light Requires Both Melanopsin and Classical Photoreceptors." *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, vol. 284, no. 1855, 2017, Article 20162275.

Maxwell's Equations (overview). *Wikipedia*, Wikimedia Foundation, en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Maxwell%27s_equations. Accessed 26 Sept. 2025.

Svet, Victor D. "About Holographic (Interferometric) Approach to the Primary Visual Perception." *Open Journal of Biophysics*, vol. 3, no. 3, 2013, pp. 165–177.

Vandewalle, Gilles, et al. “Light as a Modulator of Cognitive Brain Function.” *Trends in Cognitive Sciences*, vol. 13, no. 10, 2009, pp. 429–438.

“Visual Cortex.” *Wikipedia*, Wikimedia Foundation, en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Visual_cortex. Accessed 26 Sept. 2025.

“Weber–Fechner Law.” *Wikipedia*, Wikimedia Foundation, en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Weber%E2%80%93Fechner_law. Accessed 26 Sept. 2025.

---

1 2 Slide 1
https://courses.egr.uh.edu/ECE/ECE3317/SectionJackson/Class%20Notes/Notes%204%203317%20Maxwell%27s%20Equations.pdf

3 4 5 Physics of optical holography - Wikipedia
https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Physics_of_optical_holography

6 7 About Holographic (Interferometric) Approach to the Primary Visual Perception
https://www.scirp.org/journal/paperinformation?paperid=34495

8 16 25 32 Photoreceptors (Rods & Cones): Anatomy & Function
https://my.clevelandclinic.org/health/body/photoreceptors-rods-and-cones

9 10 11 12 13 14 15 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 58 The Baseline - Eyes: The Body's Own Spectroscopes
https://www.spectroscopyonline.com/view/baseline-eyes-bodys-own-spectroscopes

26 Optic Nerve - Physiopedia
https://www.physio-pedia.com/Optic_Nerve

27 28 29 30 31 Visual cortex - Wikipedia
https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Visual_cortex

33 Weber–Fechner law - Wikipedia
https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Weber%E2%80%93Fechner_law

34 35 Understanding Gamma Correction - Cambridge in Colour
https://www.cambridgeincolour.com/tutorials/gamma-correction.htm

36 What Is Gamma Correction | Mobile Pixels
https://www.mobilepixels.us/pages/what-is-gamma-correction?srsltid=AfmBOoqNgLB1Ikv27pJWyTqZBoF6bi3yfFkZT-1uSOizxPeVjixxFoWb

37 40 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49 50 55 57 Modulation of recognition memory performance by light requires both melanopsin and classical photoreceptors - PMC
https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC5204172/

38 51 52 53 54 56 Light as a Modulator of Non-Image-Forming Brain Functions—Positive and Negative Impacts of Increasing Light Availability
https://www.mdpi.com/2624-5175/5/1/12

39 Transition from Dim to Bright Light in the Morning Induces an ...
https://academic.oup.com/jcem/article/86/1/151/2841140

41 Acute Effects of Bright Light Exposure on Cortisol Levels - PMC
https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC3686562/